Adam L. Braverman United States Attorney 2 Andrew P. Young (Illinois Bar No: 6284303) Mark W. Pletcher (Colorado Bar No: 034615) Assistant U.S. Attorneys 880 Front Street, Room 6293 OCT 02 2018 San Diego, CA 92101 5 Tel: (619) 546-7981 Email: andrew.p.young2@usdoj.gov 7 Attorney for the United States 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 18CR1404-WQH UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 11 PLEA AGREEMENT 12 v. 13 14 VINCENT RAMOS, 15 Defendant. 16 17 IT IS HEREBY AGREED between the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 18 through its counsel, Adam L. Braverman, United States Attorney, and Andrew P. 19 Young, Mark W. Pletcher, and Benjamin J. Katz, Assistant United States Attorneys, 20 21 (collectively, the "United States"), and defendant Vincent Ramos, with the advice and 22 consent of Michael Pancer and Victor Sherman, counsel for the defendant, as follows: 23 24 /// 25 26 27 28 ///

### I. THE PLEA

### A. The Charges

The defendant agrees to plead guilty to Count One of the Indictment charging defendant with Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1962(d). Upon the execution and faithful discharge of defendant's obligations as set forth in this Plea Agreement and any Addenda, the United States agrees to dismiss Count II of the Indictment without prejudice at sentencing.

The Forfeiture Addendum governs forfeiture in this matter.

#### B. Prosecution of Additional Counts

In exchange for the defendant's guilty plea, the United States agrees not to initiate or prosecute any additional criminal charges against the defendant based on information now known to the United States relating to the operation of Phantom Secure and the conspiracy with Kim Augustus Rodd, Younes Nasri, and others to aid and abet importation and distribution of controlled substances, and to commit racketeering acts, including obstruction of justice and money laundering. Nothing in this agreement shields the defendant from prosecution for other crimes. For example, the United States is free to prosecute the defendant for perjury, giving false statements, or further obstruction of justice in the event that the defendant commits such an offense after the defendant signs this plea agreement. Should the defendant commit perjury, give a false statement, or commit further obstruction of justice, the United States, at its sole

discretion, will be free to prosecute the defendant for that offense, move to set aside this plea agreement, and/or be relieved of its obligations under this agreement.

### II. NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

### A. Elements Explained

The defendant understands that the offense to which the defendant is pleading guilty has the following elements:

### Count 1 - RICO Conspiracy To Conduct Enterprise Affairs

- 1. Phantom Secure was an enterprise.
- 2. Phantom Secure was engaged in interstate or foreign commerce, or its activities in some way affected (or were contemplated to in some way affect) interstate or foreign commerce.
- 3. The defendant was employed by or associated with Phantom Secure.
- 4. There was an agreement between two or more persons to conduct (or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of) Phantom Secure's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity.
- 5. Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of its illegal objects and intending to help accomplish them.

### B. <u>Elements Understood and Admitted – Factual Basis</u>

Defendant has fully discussed the facts of this case with counsel. Defendant has committed each element of the crime and admits that there is a factual basis for this guilty plea. The following facts are true and undisputed and had this case gone to trial, the United States would have proved these facts beyond a reasonable doubt:

///

Plea Agreement

Def. Initials

18CR1404-WOH

#### **Enterprise**

- 1. PHANTOM SECURE was an enterprise. That is, PHANTOM SECURE was an association and a group of individuals associated-in-fact for the purpose of (1) aiding and abetting the importation, exportation, and distribution of illegal drugs throughout the world; (2) obstructing justice through the destruction and concealment of evidence from law enforcement; and (3) money laundering.
- The PHANTOM SECURE enterprise included co-defendants Kim Augustus Rodd, Younes Nasri, and others.
- 3. The organizational structure of the PHANTOM SECURE enterprise included individuals in the following roles, among others:
  - a. <u>Administrators</u>: Administrators were PHANTOM SECURE corporate executives and front office staff who had physical control of the PHANTOM SECURE network, PHANTOM SECURE's books and records, and corporate operations. Administrators could initiate new subscriptions, remove accounts, remotely delete (wipe), and reset devices.
  - b. <u>Distributors</u>: Distributors coordinated agents and resellers of PHANTOM SECURE devices, received payments for ongoing subscription fees, sent associated funds (minus personal profit) back to the parent company, and provided second-level technical support. Distributors communicated directly with PHANTOM SECURE administrators.
  - c. <u>Agents</u>: Agents physically sourced and engaged with new customers to sell and deliver PHANTOM SECURE devices with initial subscriptions. The agents earned profit on the sale of the handset only, and provided first level technical support to their small group of customers.

- 4. Through PHANTOM SECURE, the defendant and others facilitated the importation, exportation, and distribution of wholesale quantities of (a) cocaine; (b) heroin; and (c) methamphetamine throughout the world, including the United States, Australia, Mexico, Canada, Thailand, and Europe. It was reasonably foreseeable to the defendant that as part of this conspiracy PHANTOM SECURE's customers would and did use PHANTOM SECURE devices to coordinate the importation, exportation, and distribution of more than 450 kilograms of cocaine.
- 5. Through PHANTOM SECURE, the defendant and others manufactured and sold devices to send and receive encrypted messages. To stay outside the reach of law enforcement of the United States, the defendant and others maintained PHANTOM SECURE's servers in Panama and Hong Kong, and used virtual proxy servers to further disguise the physical locations of its servers.
- 6. Through PHANTOM SECURE, to impede law enforcement, the defendant and others required a personal reference (*i.e.*, a vouch) from existing clients before selling a device and its services to a new customer.
- 7. Through PHANTOM SECURE, the defendant and others employed the use of code words, such as "executives," to describe clients it knew or had reason to knows participated in illegal activities, including international drug trafficking.
- 8. Through PHANTOM SECURE, the defendant and others strove to remain as anonymous as possible to evade law enforcement and avoid other consequences of their criminal activities. PHANTOM SECURE Administrators,

Distributors, and Agents did not request, track, or record their clients' real names, and interacted only via username, email handles, or nicknames.

- Through PHANTOM SECURE, the defendant and/or others 9. obstructed law enforcement by deleting (i.e., wiping) devices that had been seized by law enforcement to destroy evidence that the devices contained. PHANTOM SECURE Administrators, Distributors, and Agents also suspended service and deleted the contents of device if it was suspected that law enforcement or an informant was using the PHANTOM SECURE device as part of a law enforcement investigation.
- Through PHANTOM SECURE, the defendant and/or others used 10. digital currencies, including Bitcoin, to facilitate illegal transactions on the website, to protect the membership's anonymity, and to facilitate the laundering of the PHANTOM PHANTOM SECURE Administrators, SECURE enterprise's ill-gotten gains. Distributors, and Agents also set up and maintained shell companies to hide the proceeds generated by selling PHANTOM SECURE's encryption devices and services.

### Interstate/Foreign Commerce

PHANTOM SECURE was engaged in interstate and foreign 11. commerce, and in some way affected interstate and foreign commerce.

### Association

Defendant was associated with PHANTOM SECURE, in that 12. defendant was the Chief Executive Officer of PHANTOM SECURE and had decisionmaking authority over PHANTOM SECURE's operations throughout the world.

Plea Agreement

#### **Agreement**

including March 2018, defendant agreed with others, including Rodd, Nasri, and others to conduct PHANTOM SECURE's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity: Defendant's agreement with his co-conspirators included the understanding that PHANTOM SECURE would be engaged in multiple racketeering activities on a nearly daily basis for the benefit of PHANTOM SECURE, including (i) facilitating the importation, exportation, and distribution of wholesale and retail quantities of illegal narcotics, including cocaine, heroin, and methamphetamine; (ii) laundering of the illegal proceeds of the PHANTOM SECURE Enterprise; and (iii) obstructing justice through the deletion and concealment of evidence from law enforcement.

### **Joining Conspiracy**

14. Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing the illegal objects of the conspiracy and intending to help accomplish them.

### Leadership Role

15. As CEO of PHANTOM SECURE, with decision-making authority over other administrators, distributors, and agents operating throughout the world, defendant was an organizer and leader of the PHANTOM SECURE Enterprise that involved more than five participants and was otherwise extensive.

### III. PENALTIES

The defendant understands that the crime to which the defendant is pleading guilty carries the following penalties:

- A. a maximum term of 20 years in prison
- B. a maximum \$250,000 fine, or twice the gross gain or loss from the offense, whichever is greater;
  - C. a mandatory special assessment of \$100;
- D. a term of supervised release of 5 years; the defendant understands that failure to comply with any of the conditions of supervised release may result in revocation of supervised release, requiring the defendant to serve in prison all or part of the term of supervised release;
  - E. possible ineligibility for certain federal benefits, and
- F. forfeiture of all interests the defendant acquired or maintained in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962; all interests in securities of, claims against and properties and contractual rights of any kind affording a source of influence over, any enterprise which defendant established, operated, controlled, conducted, or participated in the conduct of, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962; and all properties constituting or derived from proceeds obtained directly or indirectly from racketeering activity.

### IV. DEFENDANT'S WAIVER OF TRIAL RIGHTS

The defendant understands that, by entering into this plea agreement, he knowingly and voluntarily waives the right:

- A. To continue to plead not guilty and require the government to prove the elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt;
  - B. To a speedy and public trial by jury;
  - C. To the assistance of counsel at all stages of trial;
  - D. To confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses;
  - E. To present evidence and have witnesses testify on behalf of the defendant;
- F. Not to testify or have any adverse inferences drawn from the failure to testify;
- G. To assert any rights and defenses defendant may have under the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution to the forfeiture of property in this proceeding or any related civil or administrative proceeding; and
- H. To assert at trial or on appeal any legal, constitutional, statutory, regulatory, and procedural rights and defenses that he may have under any source of federal law, including among others, challenges to personal jurisdiction, extraterritoriality, statute of limitations, venue, and the form and substance of the Indictment, including any claim of multiplicity or duplicity.

22

#### V.

### DEFENDANT ACKNOWL EDGES NO PRETRIAL RIGHT TO BE PROVIDED WITH IMPEACHMENT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE **INFORMATION**

Any information establishing the factual innocence of defendant known to the undersigned prosecutors in this case has been turned over. The United States will continue to provide such information, if any, establishing the factual innocence of defendant. If this case proceeded to trial, the United States would be required to provide impeachment information for its witnesses. In addition, if defendant raised an affirmative defense, the United States would be required to provide information in its possession that supports such a defense. By pleading guilty defendant will not be provided this information, if any, and defendant waives any right to this information. Defendant will not attempt to withdraw the guilty plea or to file a collateral attack based on the existence of this information, if any.

### DEFENDANT'S REPRESENTATION THAT GUILTY PLEA IS KNOWING AND VOLUNTARY

The defendant represents that:

Defendant has had a full opportunity to discuss all the facts and A. circumstances of this case with defense counsel and has a clear understanding of the charges and the consequences of this plea. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up and rendered ineligible to receive valuable government benefits and civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a

firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant further understands that the conviction in this case may subject defendant to various collateral consequences, including but not limited to deportation, removal or other adverse immigration consequences; revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case; debarment from government contracting; and suspension or revocation of a professional license, none of which will serve as grounds to withdraw, appeal or collaterally attack defendant's guilty plea;

- B. No one has made any promises or offered any rewards in return for this guilty plea, other than those contained in this agreement or otherwise disclosed to the Court;
- C. No one has threatened the defendant or the defendant's family to induce this guilty plea; and
- D. The defendant is pleading guilty because in truth and in fact the defendant is guilty, and for no other reason.

## VII. AGREEMENT LIMITED TO U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

This plea agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of California, and cannot bind any other authorities in any type of matter, although the United States will bring this plea agreement and its addenda to the attention of other authorities if requested by defendant.

4 5

### APPLICABILITY OF SENTENCING GUIDELINES

VIII.

The sentence imposed will be based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). In imposing the sentence, the sentencing judge must consult the United States Sentencing Guidelines (Guidelines) and take them into account. Defendant has discussed the Guidelines with counsel and understands that the Guidelines are only advisory, not mandatory. The Court may impose a sentence more severe or less severe than otherwise applicable under the Guidelines, up to the maximum in the statute of conviction. The sentence cannot be determined until a presentence report is prepared by the United States Probation Office and defense counsel and the United States have an opportunity to review and challenge the presentence report. Nothing in this plea agreement limits the duty of the United States to provide complete and accurate facts to the district court and the U.S. Probation Office.

### IX. <u>SENTENCE IS WITHIN SOLE DISCRETION OF JUDGE</u>

This plea agreement is made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B). The sentence is within the sole discretion of the sentencing judge who may impose up to the statutory maximum sentence. It is uncertain what defendant's sentence will be. The United States has not made and will not make any representation about what sentence defendant will receive. Any estimate of the probable sentence by defense counsel is not a promise and is not binding. Any recommendation by the United States at sentencing

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	8	

is not binding on the Court, and if the sentencing judge does not follow the parties' sentencing recommendations, defendant cannot withdraw his guilty plea.

### X. PARTIES' SENTENCING RECOMMENDATIONS

### A. Sentencing Guideline Calculations

Although the parties understand that the Guidelines are only advisory and just one of the factors that the Court will consider under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) in imposing a sentence, the parties will jointly recommend the following Base Offense Level, Specific Offense Characteristics, and Adjustments and Departures under the Guidelines, effective November 1, 2018:

- 1. Base Offense Level [USSG § 2D1.1(c)(1)] 38
- 2. Aggravating Role (Leader & Organizer)
  [USSG § 3B1.1(a)] +4
- 3. Acceptance of Responsibility
  [USSG § 3E1.1] -3

### B. Acceptance of Responsibility

Notwithstanding Paragraph X.A.3, the United States will not be obligated to recommend any adjustment for acceptance of responsibility if the defendant engages in conduct inconsistent with acceptance of responsibility including, but not limited to:

1. Failing to truthfully admit a complete factual basis as stated in the plea at the time the plea is entered, or falsely denying, or making a

statement inconsistent with, the factual basis set forth in this agreement;

- Falsely denying prior criminal conduct or convictions; 2.
- Being untruthfu<sup>1</sup> with the government, the Court or probation 3. officer;
- Materially breaching this plea agreement in any way; 4.
- Contesting or assisting any third party in contesting the forfeiture of 5. property(ies) seized in connection with this case, and any property(ies) which the defendant has agreed to forfeit as set forth in the attached forfeiture addendum;
- Failure to file a financial disclosure within 60 days of pleading 6. guilty.

#### Further Adjustments and Sentence Reductions Including C. Those Under 18 U.S.C. § 3553

The parties agree that defendant will not request or recommend additional downward adjustments and departures, including criminal history departures under USSG § 4A1.3, pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines. The defendant may, however, request a sentencing variance pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), and the United States may oppose any such request.

#### No Agreement As To Criminal History Category D.

The parties have no agreement as to the defendant's Criminal History Category.

# 2 3 4

### E. "Factual Basis" And "Relevant Conduct" Information

The parties agree that the facts in the "factual basis" paragraph of this agreement are true, and may be considered as "relevant conduct" under USSG § 1B1.3, and as the nature and circumstances of the offense under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1).

### F. Parties' Recommendations Regarding Custody

The parties agree that the United States will recommend that the defendant be sentenced within the advisory guideline range as calculated by the United States pursuant to this agreement.

If defendant is in full compliance with the terms, conditions, and obligations of this Plea Agreement and its Addenda, and if, as set forth further below, the defendant is eligible and applies to transfer his sentence pursuant to the international prisoner transfer program, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of California agrees not to oppose the defendant's transfer application. Defendant, however, agrees not to make any such request to the international prisoner transfer program until he has completed at least five (5) years of his custodial sentence, as imposed by the United States District Court Judge. Defendant acknowledges and understands, however, that the transfer decision rests in the sole discretion of the Office of Enforcement Operations (OEO) of the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice, and that the position of the Southern District of California is neither binding nor determinative of the positions of other federal agencies or on the final transfer decision of OEO. Defendant further

understands that in addition to OEO, federal law and the underlying transfer treaties require that the receiving foreign government must approve the transfer.

#### G. Special Assessment/Fine/Restitution

#### 1. Special Assessment

The parties will jointly recommend that defendant pay a special assessment in the amount of \$100.00 to be paid forthwith at time of sentencing. The special assessment shall be paid through the office of the Clerk of the District Court by bank or cashier's check or money order made payable to the "Clerk, United States District Court."

#### 2. Fine

The parties have no agreement as to what fine, if any, the defendant will be sentenced to pay.

### H. <u>Supervised Release or Probation</u>

If the Court imposes a term of supervised release or probation, the defendant agrees that he will not later seek to reduce or terminate early the term of supervised release or probation until he has served at least 2/3 of his term of supervised release or probation.

### XI. <u>DEFENDANT WAIVES APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK</u>

Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives (gives up), any and all rights to appeal and to collaterally attack every aspect of the conviction and sentence, including any restitution order, except a post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of

ineffective assistance of counsel. If the defendant appeals, the United States may oppose the appeal on any available grounds.

### XII. BREACH OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT

Defendant and defendant's attorney know the terms of this agreement and shall raise, before the sentencing hearing is complete, any claim that the United States has not complied with this agreement. Otherwise, such claims shall be deemed waived (that is, deliberately not raised despite awareness that the claim could be raised), cannot later be made to any court, and if later made to a court, shall constitute a breach of this agreement. Defendant breaches this agreement if defendant violates or fails to perform any obligation under this agreement. The following are non-exhaustive examples of acts constituting a breach:

- 1. Failing to plead guilty pursuant to this agreement,
- 2. Withdrawing the guilty plea or attempting to withdraw the guilty plea,
- 3. Failing to fully accept responsibility as established in Section X, paragraph B, above,
- 4. Failing to appear in court,
- 5. Failing to abide by any lawful court order related to this case,
- 6. Appealing or collaterally attacking the sentence or conviction in violation of Section XI of this plea agreement, or
- 7. Engaging in additional criminal conduct from the time of arrest until the time of sentencing.

8. Contesting or assisting any third party in contesting the forfeiture of property(ies) seized in connection with this case, and any property(ies) which the defendant has agreed to forfeit as set forth in the attached Forfeiture Addendum; or otherwise failing to perform the obligations set forth in the Forfeiture Addendum.

If defendant breaches this plea agreement, defendant will not be able to enforce any provisions, and the United States will be relieved of all its obligations under this Plea Agreement and its Addenda. For example, the United States may proceed to sentencing but recommend a different sentence than what it agreed to recommend. Or, the United States may pursue any charges including those that were dismissed, promised to be dismissed, or not filed as a result of this agreement. Defendant agrees that any statute of limitations relating to such charges is tolled indefinitely as of the date all parties have signed this agreement. Defendant also waives any double jeopardy defense to such charges. The United States may also move to set aside defendant's guilty plea. Defendant may not withdraw the guilty plea based on the United States's pursuit of remedies for defendant's breach.

If defendant breaches this plea agreement: (i) any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (before either a Magistrate Judge or a District Judge); (ii) the factual basis statement in Section II.B in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, are admissible against Defendant in any prosecution of, or any action against, Defendant. This includes the prosecution of the charge that is the subject of this plea agreement or any charge(s) that the prosecution

agreed to dismiss or not file as part of this agreement, but later pursues because of defendant's breach. Additionally, defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently waives any argument that the statements and any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed, cannot be used by the United States, or are inadmissible under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and any other federal rule.

### XIII CONTENTS AND MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT

This plea agreement embodies the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes any other agreement, written or oral. No modification of this plea agreement shall be effective unless in writing signed by all parties.

### XIV DEFENDANT AND COUNSFL FULLY UNDERSTAND AGREEMENT

By signing this agreement, Defendant certifies that Defendant has read it (or that it has been read to Defendant in Defendant's native language). Defendant has discussed the terms of this agreement with defense counsel and fully understands it.

Def. Initials

### 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 DATED: 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

### XVDEFENDANT SATISFIED WITH COUNSEL

Defendant has consulted with counsel and is satisfied with counsel's representation. This is Defendant's independent opinion, and Defendant's counsel did not advise Defendant about what to say in this regard.

> ADAM L. BRAVERMAN United States Attorney

DATED: 19/1/18

MARK W. PLETCHER BENJAMIN J. KATZ Assistant U.S. Attorneys

MICHAEL PANCER VICTOR SHERMAN Counsel for Defendant

IN ADDITION TO THE FOREGOING PROVISIONS, WHICH I UNDERSTAND AND TO WHICH I AGREE, I SWEAR UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FACTS IN THE "FACTUAL BASIS" SECTION ABOVE ARE TRUE.

DATED:

Plea Agreement

27

28

NT RAMOS

**Defendant** 

20

Def. Initials